cc:Mail for: Peg Witherill

Subject: OU7 Issue Paper - Action Items

Peg Witherill 2/16/95 2:36 PM

Joe Wienand To: Mario Acevedo cc:

ec: Kurt Muenchow at RFO-04

cc: Gail Hill at RFO-05

ec: Fred Gerdeman

Dave Maxwell at RFQ-05 CC:

Virgene Ideker at RFO-04 cc:

Laura Cindel at RFO-04 cc:

Rick Stupka at RFO-05 cc:

Judith Stewart at RFO-04 cc:

Edward Smith at RFO-03



000063094

Please find attached the results of the discussion at the meeting regarding the OU7 Issue Paper. This attachment is more of an action item list than a complete recap of the entire discussion. The next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, February 22, 8:30 a.m., in the B116 Large Conference Room. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the strategy that will be taken forth to RFFO management. Hopefully, all regulatory analyses will be completed by then so that a legally, defensible postion paper can be developed and presented to Mark Silverman and the regulators.

Thank you again for your support.

Peg

Meeting Minutes OU7 Issue Paper 9:00 a.m. B116 C.R.

1. Attendees:

Peg Witherill	x6585	DOE/Environmental Restocation
Mario Acevedo	x6498	SAIC/Waste Management
Ed Smith	x4547	SAIC/Environmental Guidance
Virgene Ideker	x3437	Aguirre/Waste Management
Laura Cindel	x6614	DOE/Waste Management
Fred Gerdeman	x6203	DOE/Environmental Guidance
Laurie Gregory-Frost	x8570	EG&G/Environmental Restoration
Rick Stupka	x3148	SAIC/Environmental Guidance
Paul Singh	x3490	ORNL/Environmental Restoration
Dave Maxwell	×4017	DOE/Environmental Guidance
Paul Pigeon	x5611	RTG/Project Management & Engineering
Judith Stewart	273-1245	SAIC/Environmental Restoration
Gail Hill	x3424	DOE/Environmental Guidance
Kurt Muenchow	x2184	DOE/Environmental Restoration

2. Purpose:

An issue paper was distributed for comment on February 12 that outlined several issues related to the implementation of the OU7 Proposed Action Memorandum (PAM) which calls for the installation of the seep collection and treatment system for the present landfill. The purpose of the meeting was to generate a strategy, with associated actions, to address these issues in a manner that will allow the project to proceed in a manner that is necessary and appropriate.

3. Discussion

The following questions were posed by the group:

- Is the PAM/Dispute Resolution binding?
- 2. Is the leachate F039 or groundwater? If the "contained in" analysis can be applied, can we cease management as a "hazardous waste?"
- Do Surface Water Quality Standards apply and when?

4. Action Items

Peg Witherill requested that the information gathered from the action items be submitted to her by Friday. The results will be discussed at the next meeting scheduled for Wednesday, February 22, 1995, 8:30 a.m. in the B116 large conference room.

Question 1: Develop strategy to pull the PAM

- meet with DOE Office of Chief Counsel OPEN
- meet with Silverman CLOSED
- discuss impacts with the RFCA team lead OPEN

Actionec(s): Witherill OPEN

4. Action Items (continued):

Question 2: Develop a technical argument for "contained in." What additional information is needed?

- 3/3/93 letter from CDPHE on the "contained in" analysis

HELP model run

- Particle transport model

Actionee(s): Witherill/Hill

Status: CLOSED - Analysis delivered by Ed Smith on 2/16/95.

OPEN - EG&G tasked to provide information on the models

by COB Friday.

Is the Field Sampling Plan (FSP) adequate for the "contained" in determination?

Actionec(s): Pigeon

Status: CLOSED - OU7 has an FSP approved by CDPHE and EPA.

Question 3. RA was based on Clean Water/Surface Water standards, not on CDPHE and RCRA requirements.

- Is the Risk Assessment adequate to meet OPHE and RCRA

requirements?

- What happened to the vinyl chloride in the RA?

Actionee(s): Stupka
Status: OPEN